Supplementary file 2: RAMESES publication standards checklist

1	Title identified as realist review	Yes
2	Abstracts should ideally contain brief	Yes
	details of the study's background,	
	review question or objectives; search	
	strategy; methods of selection,	
	appraisal, analysis and synthesis of	
	sources; main results; and implications	
	for practice.	
3	Explain why the review is needed and	yes
	what it is likely to contribute to existing	7
	understanding of the topic area.	
4	State the objective(s) of the review	yes
	and/or the review question(s). Define	, 453
	and provide a rationale for the focus	
	of the review.	
5	Any changes made to the review that	Yes
	was initially planned should be briefly	163
	1	
6	described and justified.	Vos
б	Explain why realist synthesis was	Yes
	considered the most appropriate	
_	method to use.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
7	Describe and justify the initial process	Yes
	of exploratory scoping of the literature.	
8	state and provide a rationale for	Yes
	how the iterative searching was done.	
	Provide details on all the sources	
	accessed for information in the	
	synthesis. For example, where	
	electronic databases have been	
	searched, details should include, for	
	example, the name of the database,	
	search terms, dates of coverage and	
	date last searched. If individuals familiar	
	with the relevant literature and/or topic	
	area were contacted, indicate how they	
	were identified and selected.	
9	Explain how judgements were made	Yes
	about including and excluding data from	
	documents, and justify these.	
10	Describe and explain which data or	Yes
	information were extracted from the	
	included documents and justify this	
	selection.	
11	Describe the analysis and synthesis	Yes
	processes in detail. This section should	
	include information on the constructs	
	analyzed and describe the analytic	
	process.	
<u> </u>	p. 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	

12	Provide details on the number of	Yes
	documents assessed for eligibility and	
	included in the review with reasons for	
	exclusion at each stage, as well as an	
	indication of their source of origin (for	
	example, from searching databases,	
	reference lists and so on).	
13	Provide information on the	Yes
	characteristics of the documents	
	included in the synthesis.	
14	Present the key findings with a specific	Yes, although theory testing was limited due
	focus on theory building and testing.	to limited evidence, this is discussed in the
	, ,	paper
15	Summarize the main findings, taking	Yes
	into account the synthesis' objective(s),	
	research question(s), focus and	
	intended audience(s).	
16	Discuss both the strengths of the review	Yes
	and its limitations. These should include	
	(but need not be restricted to) (a)	
	consideration of all the steps in the	
	synthesis process and (b) comment on	
	the overall strength of evidence	
	supporting the explanatory insights that	
	emerged. The limitations identified may	
	point to areas where further work is	
	needed.	
17	Where applicable, compare and	Yes
	contrast the synthesis' findings with the	
	existing literature (for example, other	
	reviews) on the same topic.	
18	List the main implications of the	Yes
	findings and place these in the context	
	of other relevant literature. If	
	appropriate, offer recommendations for	
	policy and practice.	
19	Provide details of funding source (if any)	Yes
	for the synthesis, the role played by the	
	funder (if any) and any conflicts of	
	interests of the reviewers.	
	interests of the reviewers.	